

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/047,059 01/14/2002		Earl J. Votolato	SPELL-009A	3514	
7.	590 04/11/2003				
Kit M. Stetina			EXAMINER		
STETINA BRU Suite 250	JNDA GARRED & BR	LINDSEY, RODNEY M			
75 Enterprise					
Aliso Viejo, CA 92656			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3765		
			DATE MAILED: 04/11/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·		•	_		1762			
•		Application No.		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/047,059		VOTOLATO, EAR	RL J.			
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Rodney M. Lindsey		3765				
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover s	heet with the c	correspondence ac	Idress			
THE - Extended after - If the series of the	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. In SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however within the statutory minim will apply and will expire SIX cause the application to b	or, may a reply be tir um of thirty (30) day ((6) MONTHS from ecome ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered time the mailing date of this o	ly. communication.			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_·						
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is non-fina	al.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims								
4)🛛	Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)🛛	Claim(s) <u>1-6,8 and 10-13</u> is/are rejected.							
7)🛛	7) Claim(s) 7 and 9 is/are objected to.							
8)	. /	r election requirem	ent.					
	tion Papers							
, —	The specification is objected to by the Examiner							
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept							
44	Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on			oved by the Examir	ier.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
,—	•	ammer.						
•	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	nriority under 25 l	18 6 8 110/6	a) (d) or (f)				
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	i priority under 35 t	J.S.C. 9 119(c	a)-(a) Or (1).				
a	All b) Some * c) None of:	s have been receive	ad					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
14)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisiona	ıl application).			
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 								
Attachme	nt(s)							
2) 🔲 Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2	5) 🔲 N		y (PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (P1				

Application/Control Number: 10/047,059 Page 2

Art Unit: 3765

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609 A(1) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 8, 10 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Vandermer.

Vandermer shows a utensil 10 comprising a pair of opposing pockets 36, 40 and a hinge 22, 28, 30 joining the pockets, the hinge biasing the pockets in the closed position of Figure 3 the pockets being separable from the closed position of Figure 3. With respect to claims 2 and 10 note column 2, line 37. With respect to claims 5 and 13 note column 1, line 65. With respect to claims 6 and 8 note the opposing flat surfaces shown in Figure 3.

Application/Control Number: 10/047,059 Page 3

Art Unit: 3765

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 3 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vandermer in view of Chesterton.

Vandermer does not teach forming the utensil of metal. Chesterton teaches that it is old in the art of hand implements to form the utensil of metal (see line 31). It would have been obvious to form the utensil of Vandermer of metal in the manner of Chesterton since one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would readily have recognized the advantage of metal construction in enhancing the durability of the utensil.

6. Claims 4 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vandermer in view of Jones.

Vandermer does not teach forming the utensil of paper as claimed. Jones teaches old in the art of hand implements the interchangeability of paper and plastic (see column 3, lines 12-15). It would have been obvious to form the utensil of Vandermer of paper in the manner of Jones since one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would readily have recognized the expedience of paper in permitting a cheaper and more disposable utensil.

Application/Control Number: 10/047,059 Page 4

Art Unit: 3765

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 7 and 9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Note particularly, the use of pockets in Jordan, Edwards, Kaufman, Jensen, Suzuki, Garrett-Roe, Detwiler, Koffler and Japanese patent to Kyoda, the paper of German patent to Ortner, the ends of the tongs of Fleishman and the color coding in Haak et al.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rodney M. Lindsey whose telephone number is (703) 305-7818. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John J. Calvert can be reached on (703) 305-1025. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9302 for regular communications and (703) 872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 872-9301.

Rodney M. Lindsey Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3765

rm1

April 4, 2003